

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/073,238         | 02/13/2002    | Karl W. Potts        | BS01-272                | 4418             |  |
| 75                 | 90 08/08/2006 |                      | EXAMINER ,              |                  |  |
| Withers & Keys LLC |               |                      | PHAN, JOSEPH T          |                  |  |
| P O Box 71355      |               |                      | T                       |                  |  |
| Marietta, GA       | 30007-1355    |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |  |
|                    |               |                      | 2614                    |                  |  |
| •                  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                                 |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Advisory Action   | 10/073,238  | POTTS ET AL.  |                                 |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  | -                               |
|   | Joseph T. Phan  | 2614  |                                 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | orrespondence address   | ••                              |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LOWANCE.  |                                 |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo            | idavit, or other evidence, v<br>compliance with 37 CFR 4            | vhich<br>1.31; or (3)           |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  |   | in the final actuation which are                                    | aria latar la                   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>06.07(f).   | g date of the final rejection.<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED           | WITHIN                          |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL                            | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate en<br>inally set in the final Office ac | xtension fee<br>tion; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the app  | the date of peal. Since         |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |                                 |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol> | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re<br>corresponding number of finally re      | TE below); ducing or simplifying the is                             |                                 |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | ompliant Amendment (PTC   | )L-324).                        |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   |   | . 12 41                         |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | llowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendment ca   | anceling the                    |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-33.  |   | II be entered and an expla  | nation of                       |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                                 |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |                                 |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | ut before or on the date of filing a N  Id sufficient reasons why the affidar   | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be<br>vit or other evidence is ned  | entered<br>essary and           |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fails to<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).             | ot be<br>provide a              |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | on of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attached.   |                                 |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowance t   | ecause:                         |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I   | No(s)   |                                 |
|   |   |   |                                 |

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 25 adds new limitations from dependent claim 30 which depends on claim 26, however limitations from claim 26 are not included in amended claim 25, therefore this raises new issues which would require further consideration and search.

111

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600